LFC Requester:	Cristina Keyes

# AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS 2016 REGULAR SESSION

## WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

# LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

## **DFA@STATE.NM.US**

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

# **SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Ch Original Correction		ent				Dat Bill No	te January 24, 2016 D: SB166
Short Title:	Sen. Sue Wilson Be Clawback Repaym Credit NII: FISCAL IMP	ent Tax	Phone:	<b>Writing</b> 505-827	-6079	Email	e Manierre cmanierre@nmag.gov
Appropriation FY16 FY		on Non		decurr	0	Fund Affected	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund		
FY16	FY17	FY18	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## **ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY16	FY17	FY18	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: SB 167

Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

#### **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

## **BILL SUMMARY**

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General's Opinion nor an Attorney General's Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency's, committee's, or legislator's request.

#### **Synopsis:**

Senate Bill 166 adds a new section to the Income Tax Act. The Bill creates a tax credit for a taxpayer who, in a taxable year has made a clawback repayment and who has taxen a credit pursuant to Section 1341 of the Internal Revenue Code. Senate Bill 166 lays out the manner in which the credit shall be calculated, and creates additional requirements for claiming the "clawback repayment income tax credit."

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: None SIGNIFICANT ISSUES: None

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS:** None **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS:** None

## CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP:

- Senate Bills 166 and 167 are essentially identical, with a few modifications in what constitutes a "clawback repayment" under this section and the fact that Senate Bill 166 refers specifically to clawback repayments related to Ponzi schemes.

#### **TECHNICAL ISSUES:**

- Although it is clarified further on in the Bill, it might be helpful to clarify the language in the first sentence regarding "a taxable year" and explaining whether this applies to any taxable year, or the specific year during which the taxpayer is making the clawback repayment.
- It is not entirely necessary, but might be helpful to have a more specific cite to the Internal Revenue Code rather than just "Section 1341."
- It might be helpful to include more specific language regarding who is repaying whom with the various references to repaying throughout the Bill.

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES:** None

**ALTERNATIVES:** None

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL: Status Quo

**AMENDMENTS:** None